CONTRA COSTA COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT
RFQ—DESIGN-BUILD SERVICES FOR CONTRA COSTA CCD MECHANICAL AND CONTROLS DESIGN-BUILD PROJECT

District Office, Contra Costa College and Diablo Valley College

Date: 6/19/2018

NOTICE TO ALL DESIGN-BUILD ENTITIES:
You are hereby notified of the following clarifications below. This Addendum shall supersede the original Request for Qualification/Proposals (RFQ/P) Documents and wherein it contradicts the same, and shall take precedence over anything to the contrary therein. All other conditions remain unchanged. This Addendum forms a part of the RFQ/P Documents and modifies the original RFQ/P Documents dated May 22, 2018.
Acknowledgement of receipt of this addendum is required in the proposal's cover letter. Please clearly Identify the addendum date and number. Failure to acknowledge may subject proposer to disqualification.

RESPONSES TO REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

QUESTION 1:
Are all projects within the scope of this Design Build effort to be processed through DSA? If not, which agency will review the project?
RESPONSE:
It is the responsibility of the Design Builder to determine the AHJ for each phase and DSA applications required. Please also see “sheet G0.02, “B. THIS PROJECT WILL BE REVIEWED BY DSA AND OTHER AUTHORITIES HAVING JURISDICTION. OBTAIN ALL REQUIRED PERMITS.” It is recommended that the successful Design-Builder hold preliminary review meeting with DSA to go through each project to determine which one(s) DSA wants to review and which they can forgo. District representative can accompany the selected team to their DSA review meetings. These are not a space or structural improvement projects, but rather energy efficiency and mechanical retrofit projects

QUESTION 2:
Do all buildings involved currently have a DSA number?
RESPONSE:
DSA application numbers have been researched and a table will be provided to the successful DB team. The Design-Builder will need to confirm that this information is consistent and accurate as part of the application submittal process.
QUESTION 3:
On rooftop equipment replacements, who will be responsible for structural upgrades if the existing roofing system does not comply with current code?

RESPONSE:
The objective of this project is to provide structural supports for the new mechanical systems. It is not a Structural Code upgrade project.

QUESTION 4:
There is a contradiction regarding the provision and wiring of smoke detectors. Specification 23_31_13: 3.1, G states that the District will provide and wire duct smoke detectors, specification 25_00_00: 1.05, A.3 states that Div. 26 will provide and wire. Please clarify.

RESPONSE:
Specification 233113 Part 3.1G is Plenum, which has nothing to do with Fire Smoke Detectors. Div. 26, which is part of the Design Build project requirements, will prevail.

QUESTION 5:
Do any of the buildings have roofs that are under warranty? If so, which buildings and who is the roofing company?

RESPONSE:
The PAC and Automotive Technology Building at CCC are not under warranty. A complete evaluation of the roofs on the DVC campus can be accessed at the link provided: https://www.dropbox.com/sh/7utnttsf9dly829/AAAFO5py0sGhl4ECsd28OX4a?dl=0

QUESTION 6:
AC14 at DVC Library shipping and receiving is listed as only requiring conversion from pneumatic to DDC on drawing G0.02, however; in Appendix A of the RFQ specification it's listed as requiring "new motor, valves, coils, VFD...." Please clarify scope for AC14.

RESPONSE:
Statement in G0.02 is correct.

QUESTION 7:
Is it possible to remove the hazardous material abatement scope from the contract, so that the District would contract this separately with an abatement contractor?

RESPONSE:
At this time, the hazardous materials abatement scope of work will remain part of the DB contract. The testing and reports that are currently available have been issued in Exhibit C as part of the Addendum 2, issued on June 8, 2018. The Agreement for the Contract was distributed as Exhibit I. Within these documents, Exhibit C, Special Conditions, Specification section 01412.1.6.E states, “No Work will be undertaken or accepted until asbestos contamination is reduced to levels deemed acceptable by the District’s asbestos consultant. F. Interface of Work under this Contract with work containing asbestos shall be executed by the Design-Builder at his risk and at his discretion, with full knowledge of the currently accepted standards, hazards, risks, and liabilities associated with asbestos work and asbestos containing products. By execution of this Contract, the Design-Builder acknowledges the above and agrees to hold harmless District and its assigns for all asbestos liability which may be associated with this work and agrees to instruct his employees with respect to the abovementioned standards, hazards, risks, and liabilities.”

QUESTION 8:
Specification 07_51_13: 1.5, E. states that "the Design Builder shall perform sufficient inspections and tests to confirm Owners asbestos test results." Is it the District's intent for the Design Builder to
contract with an asbestos testing firm to validate the provided Hazardous Material reports on all roofing? Is this required elsewhere (other than roofing) on the project?

RESPONSE:
Section 10412, in Addendum 2 provides guidance on the work affected by hazardous materials. Exhibit C if the RFQP was also updated in Addendum 2 to provide a Hazardous Material Abatement Work Plan Submittal. Inasmuch as there are cases where asbestos and other hazardous material work is the Design-Builders responsibility, the DB will be required to contract the abatement directly.

QUESTION 9:
Please clarify the extent of the Fire Life Safety scope.
RESPONSE:
The extent of work is as required by code and it should only cover work related to the project. Also, if new work impedes the performance of the existing fire sprinkler distribution, this must be covered, e.g., if the new work blocks the coverage of a sprinkler head. Any work that impacts code must be modified for compliance.

QUESTION 10:
Sheet D23-M2.1, Sheet Note 7F outlines a requirement to replace all existing chilled and hot water piping “downstream of the mechanical room isolation valves”. Would you please clarify whether this means we are to demo and replace all piping in just the mechanical room or within the entire building?
RESPONSE:
The note is correct. The mechanical room isolation valves are in the mechanical room. This condition was identified in both field walks. The intent is to replace all the pipes and accessories downstream of the isolation valves to the AHU coils.

QUESTION 11:
Who is the fire alarm vendor/manufacturer at all three campuses?
RESPONSE:
The fire alarm vendor is not proprietary to the District; however, Siemens is the supplier most commonly used.

For Clarifications:
Jovan Esprit at Email Address: jesprit@4cd.edu or Phone:(925)229-6959

END OF ADDENDUM #3