NOTICE TO ALL CONSULTANTS:

You are hereby notified of the following changes, clarifications and/or modifications to the original RFQ Documents, Project Manual, Drawings, Specifications and/or previous Addenda. This Addendum shall supersede the original RFQ Documents and previous Addenda wherein it contradicts the same, and shall take precedence over anything to the contrary therein. All other conditions remain unchanged.

This Addendum forms a part of the RFQ Documents and modifies the original RFQ Documents dated May 25, 2016.

Acknowledgement of receipt of this addendum is required in the proposal’s cover letter. Please clearly note the addendum date and number. Failure to acknowledge may subject proposer to disqualification.

REVISIONS & CLARIFICATIONS:

Section 1.4 RFQ Schedule is being revised to reflect updated RFQ dates:

- SOQ Submittals Due at District Office by 2pm: 6/20/16
- Short List of Firms: 7/1/16
- Interviews & Mutual Understanding Meetings: Week of 7/11/16

Section 2.2A: Additional Project Information:
Revised to include updated Exhibit B (DVC Existing PE Complex Site Plan Map). Please see attached.

Section 2.2: Additional Project Information:
Section F added to include this project preliminary schedule:

Programming/Design Start: August, 2016
Design Finish: December, 2017
DSA Review and Backcheck: January, 2018 – June, 2018
Bid/Award Phase: July, 2018 – September, 2018
Construction Start: October, 2018
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Construction Complete: November, 2020
Occupancy/Open: January 2021 (Start of Spring Semester)

Section 2.2: Additional Project Information:
Section G added to clarify that due to its proximity to the future Art Complex site, the District is anticipating that some Art infrastructure requirements may need to be explored and extended within the PE Complex project so that this work can be done all at once.

Part 4, Tab 4 – Project Information:
This section is being revised to allow for 1-page max for each submitted project.

Part 4, Tab 5 – Subconsultant Information:
This section is being revised to allow for 1-page max for each listed subconsultant discipline. The District anticipates the following disciplines for this project:

- Structural
- Civil
- Mechanical, Electrical & Plumbing Engineering
- Landscape Architect
- FF&E
- LEED
- Technology & AV
- Security
- Kinesiology Specialty Consultant
- Fire Protection Consultant
- Cost Estimating

RESPONSES TO REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

QUESTION #1:
Are 11”x17” pages to be counted as 1 or 2 pages?

RESPONSE TO QUESTION #1:
11X17 pages are counted as 1 page, but need to be folded into 8X11 size paper. Please see Part 4.1 Submission and Formatting of the RFQ.

QUESTION #2:
To clarify, do you only need sub-consultant firm resumes and NOT sub-consultant individual personnel resume?

RESPONSE TO QUESTION #2:
Yes. We are asking for subconsultant firm information only at this time. Please see Tab 5, item 1.

QUESTION #3:
Can you confirm what technology the District is looking for? Is it IT infrastructure, IT Systems, and Security?
RESPONSE TO QUESTION #3:
The District is looking for comprehensive architectural services as outlined in the RFQ, Tab 5f. Technology and AV consultants noted in the RFQ include the IT infrastructure and IT Systems. Security is a required subconsultant as well.

QUESTION #4:
Can you clarify what the District is looking for in terms of a Fire Protection Engineer?

RESPONSE TO QUESTION #4:

QUESTION #5
For Tab 4, please confirm that we have 5 pages max to detail our project experience for 10 public work projects.

RESPONSE TO QUESTION #5:
Please see the “Revisions and Clarifications” section of this addendum for changes to the max number of pages for this section.

QUESTION #6
The page count for Tab 5 is 5 pages max. We are asked to provide a resume for each proposed subconsulting firm (not individual subconsultant personnel). A minimum of 10 subconsulting disciplines is listed. For the firm resume, is the District looking for a one-paragraph firm profile?

RESPONSE TO QUESTION #6:
How the response is crafted is up to each responding firm. Please see the “Revisions and Clarifications” section of this addendum for changes to the max number of pages for this section.

QUESTION #7
Since the questions/clarifications addendum is scheduled to be released on Wednesday, June 8, if the page limits will be adjusted, could the District consider extending the Monday June 13th SOQ submittal deadline?

RESPONSE TO QUESTION #7:
Yes. Please see the “Revisions and Clarifications” section of this addendum for changes to the max number of pages for this section.

QUESTION #8
I have a question and need clarification on Page 7 – Tab 5 – Subconsultant Information - #1 sub bullet. Is the highlighted bullet point above “Security Kinesiology Specialty Consultant for Equipment” supposed to be two different disciplines/bullet points?

RESPONSE TO QUESTION #8:
Yes, the request is for a Security Consultant and a Kinesiology Specialty Consultant for Equipment. Please see the “Revisions and Clarifications” section of this addendum for changes.
QUESTION #9
Will you be posting the sign-in sheet for the pre-bid meeting or making those who attended public?

RESPONSE TO QUESTION #9:
The Sign-In Sheet has been posted on our website: http://www.4cd.edu/webapps/PurchasingViewBids/default.aspx:

QUESTION #10
There is an expressed ZNE interest which is best explained within the project approach. Will the District consider increasing the page count?

RESPONSE TO QUESTION #10:
There will be no change in the maximum number of pages to this section.

QUESTION #11
The PE complex requires a number of specialty consultants. Will it be possible to increase the page count? Note that retrofitting a building to ZNE requires certain experienced consultants.

RESPONSE TO QUESTION #11:
Please see the “Revisions and Clarifications” section of this addendum for changes to the max number of pages for this section.

QUESTION #12:
Is there a specific time we can stop by Diablo Valley College to evaluate the site and take photos?

RESPONSE TO QUESTION #12:
There is no specific time set for site visits. If you need to get into specific spaces of the existing buildings, please schedule the time with Ines Zildzic via email provided in the RFQ.

QUESTION #13
Is each side of an 11x17" page considered 1 page?

RESPONSE TO QUESTION #13:
Please see answer to #1

QUESTION #14
Is the page limit referring to number of sheets (i.e. one piece of paper front and back), which would be preferred, or would a single sheet printed front and back be considered 2 pages?

RESPONSE TO QUESTION #14:
Double sided pages will be counted as two pages.

QUESTION #15
Does the response document require actual physical labeled tabs separating the sections or can the sections just be divided with a full divider page with section title?
RESPONSE TO QUESTION #15:
Per the RFQ, submissions must be divided into individual sections with labeled tabs as noted in the RFQ.

QUESTION #16
Tab 5 – Subconsultant Information, item 1F on page 7 of the RFQ lists that we should include a ‘Security Kinesiology Specialty Consultant for Equipment. Can you please clarify if the intent was for this to read as two separate subconsultants.

RESPONSE TO QUESTION #16:
Please see answer to question #8.

QUESTION #17
Is the District currently working with a Kinesiology Specialist or do you have a preferred Kinesiology Specialist?

RESPONSE TO QUESTION #17:
No, the District is not currently working with a Kinesiology Specialist and does not have a preferred consultant.

QUESTION #18:
Can you please clarify the role of “Security Kinesiology Specialty Consultant for Equipment?” Was this meant to be two separate subs: Security, and Kinesiology.

RESPONSE TO QUESTION #18:
Please see answer to question #8.

QUESTION #19:
Do you have a pre-existing list for kinesiology equipment?

RESPONSE TO QUESTION #19:
Not at this time.

QUESTION #20:
Can you disclose who is on the technical evaluation committee?

RESPONSE TO QUESTION #20:
Please see the RFQ section 5.1 - Selection Process.

QUESTION #21:
On page 7 of the RFQ, under Tab 5 – Subconsultant Information, the District outlines in item 1.f that “the proposed project will require the services of external subconsultants, or professional expertise from its own staff, in at least the following disciplines” and lists a “Security Kinesiology Specialty Consultant for Equipment” as one of the subconsultants. Could you please clarify what exactly the District is looking for in this subconsultant?

RESPONSE TO QUESTION #21:
Please see answer to question #8.
QUESTION #22:
Are the page limitations specified in the RFQ submission requirements for double-sided or single sided pages? For example, if the RFQ states “5 pages max” would this be considered 5 double-sided pages which is equivalent to 10 single-sided pages?

RESPONSE TO QUESTION #22:
Double sided pages will be counted as 2 pages.

QUESTION #23:
Are we supposed to submit ten (10) sets + 1 loose set + 1 electronic copy or eight (8) sets + 1 loose set + 1 electronic copy? In the attached Notice, it says 10, but I’m not sure where this came from as I don't see it on the CCCCD site, and the RFQ says 8.

RESPONSE TO QUESTION #23:
The requirement per the RFQ is 8 total sets.

If you have any questions regarding this Addendum, please contact:

Jovan Esprit, Contract Manager
Contra Costa Community College District
500 Court St., Martinez, CA 94553
Email: jesprit@4cd.edu

All other terms and conditions of RFQ are to remain the same.

END OF ADDENDUM #2