1) Question: Bidder Name
Please clarify the proposal due date. Pages 1 and 2 of the RFP say that proposals are due July 11th, but page 4 says that proposals are due July 9th.

Response:
Submittals are due on July 11, 2014 at 2:00 PM.

2) Question: Bidder Name
Please clarify the required format for RFP responses. Are email responses acceptable? Or is an original printed copy required as stated on RFP page 1?

Response:
Email responses are acceptable. An original printed copy with a Flash Drive or CD is also acceptable.

3) Question: Bidder Name
Can the District please provide as much of the following information as possible to assist us in preparing an accurate fee proposal:
   a. Campus maps and/or floor plans showing square footage of all subject buildings
   b. Existing lighting schedules and plans for all subject buildings
   c. Lighting audit report from the District’s consultant that recommended the project(s)
   d. CCC/IOU Energy Partnership Program Form B Retrofit Application(s)

Response:
The District is providing PG&E reviewer spreadsheets that will help in estimating the design costs.

4) Question: Bidder Name
If the documents requested in Question 2 cannot be provided at this time, please advise how the District will evaluate fee proposals.

Response:
N/A

5) Question: Bidder Name
RFP page 3 states that “Proposals that complete work or most work by June 30th, 2014 will be given primary consideration.” Please advise how the District will evaluate proposals on this basis as this date precedes the RFP due date.

Response:
Because this date has passed, this language is no longer relevant and will not be used in the evaluation or final decision.

6) Question: Bidder Name
Please provide a copy of the District’s Short Form Architectural and Engineering Services Agreement and associated Appendices for our review.

Response:
It will be posted at the District Website link:
https://insite.4cd.edu/webapps/purchasingviewbids/

PROPOSITION 39 QUESTIONS:

7) Question: Bidder Name
What stage in the Prop 39 process is your District currently in?

Response from David Vasnaik:
I am not sure what you mean?

8) Question: Bidder Name
Have you already hired a firm to do the initial evaluation?

Response from David Vasnaik:
This RFP is for engineering services, please elaborate on initial evaluation?

9) Question: Bidder Name
What contractor(s) has your District worked with in the past for mechanical, electrical, or lighting services?

Response from David Vasnaik:
Not sure what bearing this has to do with our RFP?

10) Question: Bidder Name
One of the RFPs mentions a PG&E review. Are you referring to PG&E’s integrated audit program? If so, what firm prepared the audit as part of PG&E’s program?

Response from David Vasnaik:
This is the review conducted by PG&E pursuant to Senate Bill 73 requiring Proposition 39 projects to leverage all incentive resources provided by the local utility.

11) Question: Bidder Name
Response: