

FACULTY SENATES COORDINATING COUNCIL
of the Contra Costa Community College District

FSCC President: LMC Academic Senate President: Michael Norris (925) 439-2181x3144

mnorris@losmedanos.edu

CCC Academic Senate President: Richard Akers (510) 235-7800x4215

rakers@contracosta.edu

DVC Faculty Senate President: Laurie Lema (925) 685-1230 x2207

llema@dvc.edu

Contra Costa Community College District ■ 500 Court Street, Martinez, CA 94553

FACULTY SENATES COORDINATING COUNCIL

February 11, 2010

MEETING SUMMARY

APPROVED

TIME: 1:30-3:00

LOCATION: CCCConfer

PRESENT: Michael Norris, Laurie Lema, Keith Mikolavich, Richard Akers, Ann Patton

ABSENT:

GUESTS: Nancy Ybarra

Item #	Topic/Activity		Responsible Person(s)	Time Line
1.	APPROVAL OF AGENDA AND MINUTES	<p>It was MSC to approve the agenda of February 11, 2010. All in favor. Approved.</p> <p>It was MSC to approve the minutes of December 10, 2009. All in favor. Approved.</p> <p>It was MSC to approve the minutes of January 28, 2010. All in favor. Approved.</p>		
2.	PUBLIC COMMENT	None.		
3.	ANNOUNCEMENTS	<p>Norris announced there is a meeting scheduled on March 8 with managers and the senate presidents to discuss District-wide services. He said he is not sure how they are going to work through all the 18 concerns and the cost of district services in two hours. He said he thinks it may be an information only meeting.</p> <p>Lema asked if the budget process should go to Consultation. She said right now it's a revenue model for district but a cost model for the colleges and the District office should have a funding formula just as the colleges do. She add that if the colleges have to purchase the services, they should have a say about the costs of those services.</p> <p>Council agreed with the new budget model there should be a new budget process and that is a Consultation matter.</p> <p>Norris reminded Council that irt had been decided a few years ago to make DGC the district budget committee so faculty relinquished some it's power in that area. Council discussed the influence of faculty on DGC. Lema explained DGC votes by constituency so it does not matter how many faculty are in attendance.</p>		

<p>4.</p>	<p>Study Abroad</p>	<p>Council reviewed the recommendations for the Study Abroad Program.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. College gets to keep the FTES-the district is not an accredited school 2. The district pays the load for the instructor. Council agree that the district should pay all 3. The district pays for related materials. The district is responsible for promotion. Lema said the bigger issue is what is the expectation for support to faculty from the Study Abroad office, such as recruitment. 5. The colleges should get the productivity if they are offering the course. Lema said she was told the data would have to be extracted by hand from those sections and the difference would be almost irrelevant but mojdeh-she will have to extract by hand the data from those sections and the change will be very small and would be almost irrelevant mike gave numbers on how you could lose productivity-see record laurie-then the productivity becomes a local issue mojdeh said she would do it a couple time to show mike-their art has lower productivity because of one art class in Florence lema makes her point again about it being a college issue-see record Richard-lets validate this is true in agreement it becomes the course and load of the college that is offering the course 6. College not held accountable for any related C/AC formulas. This is not really relevant to the new model. <p>Laurie List:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Local Control for independent programs These are not consortium programs mike-there is a vendor issue for food, housing, insurance,etc-that has to be approved at the district level laurie-that is not telling us what we can and cannot offer Mike-local programs have to approved by the board that is standard since they are going out of the country <p>Cost, Class Load-prefered to be covered by district-not covering other colleges See record –missed it how the consortium makes decisions are a little strange (see record for wording) laurie-someone needs to go with the director to the consortium meetings so we are in the loop if at all possible Do we rotate colleges or open process for candidate selection There are two committees-why?</p>	
-----------	----------------------------	--	--

		<p>it should be like sabbatical leave committee you can't apply while you are on the committee rivhard-it would be a cleaner process Interaction with consortium-use of bank load.....see record laurie-student interst in waning and we could do mor to increase it Richard-he would do it Mike-from a union point-we cant-..... Link between academic and..... laurie-need a good functioning committee and that would get the manager more aware..... it seems to fundtion out on its own but we need a link with senates-the director does not have any accountability to the colleges Richard-what if the director position rotated? All decisions go thru the study abrod committee Laurie gave example of decisions that we would not go to certain locations one year that did not go thru the committee we want accountability and transparency between the manager and a strong committee Recruitment Support laurie gave example of carolyns prep course 1 unit –very successful this person had to reinvent it and we need a model and help from the office mike reads from guidelines about orientation for the program KeithThe current orientation is perfunctory we need more social orientation it needs to be institutionalized How often should the committee meet-at least twice a semester-laurie will ask Keith says 3 times a semester or once a month but that may be too much What do they do selection-they have to talk about selection criteria-set priorites for different programs(Locations) Mike-the procedures are inconsistent in the wording of partiem and full-time faculty laurie thinks not pt but there is one emeritus that goes all the time Richard-ft first then open to pt Council agrees laurie-the committee would have to weigh it out-some depts. Have mostly pt faculty Mike will put together a list of concerns and note where they are in the procedure</p>		
5.	Transfer Certificates	<p>Transfer Certificates rivhard Livingston and mike –lmc is not interested but it should not stop the other colleges lasurie-why?</p>		

		<p>mike-they thought it was a gimmicky thing to pick up certificates but does not move students toward anything they can use to get a job</p> <p>Richard is in favor of them and has not heard opposition from his senate-should give students credit for what they achieve-ccc will probably do them</p>		
6.	American Graduation Initiative	<p>American graduate initiative- what is it</p> <p>lema-what are the barriers for students?</p> <p>laurie thinks we should gather a cross section of students and somehow work with them in a way that is more customized than for students in general-a large cohort on campus that everyone on campus that works with students will know who they are-have a contract with them that we will support and they will complete and give feedback on everything that makes a difference in their success or failure-and we use the feedback to change some of the things we do</p> <p>Keith-take practices that we know that work-puente, reading and writing combined courses, take best practices and formally duplicate them- a bazaar of learning communities.</p> <p>Riochard-we have counseling and we are cutting those areas-he wants ideas to support those areas that we know affect student success</p> <p>.....more discussion-see record</p>		
7.	FSCC Chair Support	<p>FSCC Chair support</p> <p>laurie-letter from Helen said we cannot do this anymore even out of our own budget</p> <p>what now-we just don't get things done</p> <p>laurie-we meet once a month-or add a half hour to get more done once a month</p> <p>discussion about it being made a paid position said that the work was valued and is extra work</p> <p>council agreed to not go beyond what they are supposed to be doing</p> <p>Richard is concerned that we are told what we can and can't do with our money</p> <p>Mike reads from letter....get letter</p> <p>laurie-their argument is it would put faculty at over 100% load</p> <p>Richard-we could pay a stipend</p> <p>richard will put something together for Helen asking if we can do this</p> <p>mike-the discussion has beensee recordwhat we can use it for</p> <p>Laurie says let's get set what we have now</p> <p>Is everyone ok with Michael getting the .2 for this semester-yes</p> <p>Laurie-reminded that we need to get the budgets loaded</p> <p>mike read from email he will send to Judy Breza</p>		

7.	ADJOURNMENT	Meeting Adjourned 3:00 Next meeting February 25, 2010.		