

FACULTY SENATES COORDINATING COUNCIL
of the Contra Costa Community College District

FSCC President: LMC Academic Senate President: Michael Norris (925) 439-2181x3144
mnorris@losmedanos.edu

CCC Academic Senate President: Richard Akers (510) 235-7800x4215
rakers@contracosta.edu

DVC Faculty Senate President: Laurie Lema (925) 685-1230 x2207
llema@dvc.edu

Contra Costa Community College District ■ 500 Court Street, Martinez, CA 94553

FACULTY SENATES COORDINATING COUNCIL

April 22, 2010

MEETING SUMMARY

APPROVED

TIME: 1:30-3:00

LOCATION: CCCConfer

PRESENT: Michael Norris, Laurie Lema, Keith Mikolavich, Richard Akers, Ann Patton

ABSENT:

GUESTS:

Item #	Topic/Activity		Responsible Person(s)	Time Line
1.	APPROVAL OF AGENDA AND MINUTES	<p>It was MSC to approve the agenda of April 22, 2010. All in favor. Approved.</p> <p>It was MSC to approve the minutes of March 25, 2010.</p>		
2.	PUBLIC COMMENT	None.		
3.	ANNOUNCEMENTS	None.		
	Budget Report From DGC	<p>Akers and Lema said they were pleased with Norris's presentation to DGC on the Faculty Feedback regarding budget. Council agreed they felt this is one of the most important things we have done in years and it seemed the other constituency groups did not thoroughly read or look closely at the report. Council agreed working together going through the document line by line was good preparation and they should keep doing this and it can make a big impact on DGC.</p>		
	Business Procedure 18.01 CCCCD General Fund Budget	<p>Lema said she was discouraged with the conversation at DGC on reserves. She said students supported a large reserve but they don't understand that we should not be squirreling away money when we have essential college needs. She suggested faculty do more to help students understand the budget issues. Akers said he would commit to time after DGC meetings to talk with students' representatives. Lema said the Senate President whose college the student representatives are coming from should reach out to them. Council reviewed the unresolved budget issues they are concerned about. They agreed budget reserves, in terms of how much, and penalties for having too much needs to be addressed. They also agreed funding for Sabbatical leaves, whether they are from the district and the colleges get charged back or each college funds it</p>		

		<p>own needs to be addressed. And Council agreed the decision process in the case of a hardship claim by one of the colleges or the DO needs to be addressed. It was suggested DGC could be the hardship committee.</p>		
	<p>Budget Process and 10 + 1</p>	<p>Lema said she will do some homework and the consultation piece of the process needs to be addressed but she does not want to stop the process. She clarified we are asking the Chancellor to help us resolve this, not stop or change the process. Akers and Norris realized they have conflicts with the meeting scheduled with the Chancellor and it needs to be rescheduled. Council agreed it needs to be rescheduled to take place before Consultation.</p>		
	<p>District institutional Effectiveness</p>	<p>Council noticed the wording in the proposed Business Procedure 1009 is inconsistent in the use of participatory and institutional governance. Akers said to be consistent with Title 5 it should use the term participatory. They also noticed the components listed in the second paragraph do not correspond with the items listed in the first paragraph. Council commented other than the name change, it does not appear anything else in the document has changed. Lema said it needs to be very clear in the document that not just FSCC but any Senate can go directly to the board.</p> <p>Norris questioned the need for the third paragraph. He said what it describes is in the procedure for reaching mutual agreement on academic and professional matters which says if mutual agreement is not reached, the status quo stands.</p> <p>laurie-what is the definition of an administrative procedures look it up on the governing board policies and procedures GOVERNANCE CHART L-DON'T STUDENTS HAVE THE RIGHT TO G DIRECTLY TO THE BOARD LIKE FACULTY ?</p> <p>l-it says yeas on page 2-the charge should include that They need their own circle that goes to the board in the chart Laurie-at dvc we have had to deal with is that participatory governance includes all constituencies but we have the same amount of managers on all committees-what do the others think? Although it is titled participatory governance and decision making structures-lmc has it right</p> <p>mangers are not in the document as part of participatory governance m-in 1009 they are listed as a constituency group l-they are not-they think they have a right to be equally consulted but that is not what the law says m-its about who gets a line to the governing board on the chart-they could have a circle that goes to the chancellor</p> <p>m-at lmc they don't vote-they have their own access to the president l-the difference is talking about collegial consultation-they cant mandate that an agreement has to be reached before things go</p>		

		<p>forward m-the policy needs to be consistent l-let's mull it over l-we need to really know our stuff when making our argumants l-this needs to go to the senates but she needs a different level of expertise-all agree</p>		
7.	ADJOURNMENT	<p>Meeting Adjourned 3:00 Next meeting May 13, 2010.</p>		