

FACULTY SENATES COORDINATING COUNCIL
of the Contra Costa Community College District

FSCC President: LMC Academic Senate President: Michael Norris (925) 439-2181x3144
mnorris@losmedanos.edu

CCC Academic Senate President: Richard Akers (510) 235-7800x4215
rakers@contracosta.edu

DVC Faculty Senate President: Laurie Lema (925) 685-1230 x2207
llema@dvc.edu

Contra Costa Community College District ■ 500 Court Street, Martinez, CA 94553

FACULTY SENATES COORDINATING COUNCIL

May 13, 2010

MEETING SUMMARY

APPROVED

TIME: 1:30-3:00

LOCATION: CCCConfer

PRESENT: Michael Norris, Laurie Lema, Keith Mikolavich, Richard Akers, Ann Patton

ABSENT:

GUESTS:

Item #	Topic/Activity		Responsible Person(s)	Time Line
1.	APPROVAL OF AGENDA AND MINUTES	It was MSC to approve the agenda of May 13, 2010. All in favor. Approved. It was MSC to approve the minutes of April 22, 2010. All in favor. Approved.		
2.	PUBLIC COMMENT	None.		
3.	ANNOUNCEMENTS	Akers said he was at the State Chancellors Circle. He said Scott Lay is hoping the state is not going to reduce the budget any further and will make sure the Governor stands by his statement he would veto any budget that hurts education.		
3.	BP 18.01 and 18.02	Council reviewed the changes that had been made to BP 18.01 and 18.02 and if their recommendations had been incorporated. Lema said she thinks there were changes based on the feedback they provided. Norris said his Senate had concerns with the reserves issues. The proposed procedure has some "giveback" of funds to the district if a college exceeds the reserve limit of 5%. Norris said the District would be in essence "double-dipping". He suggested they propose the district give the colleges a portion of the district reserve to start the college reserves. Norris commented that it does not address the issue of the 60-40. Akers said the District reserves over 10% should be rolled into the College budgets as that would directly serve students. Lema posed the question what are we trying to do with this reserve. She said we need enough to protect the district but we should not be piling up money and critical services are not being funded. She agreed there should be a process to distribute surplus reserves. Norris is concerned with the proposal that if a college uses any Contingency Hardship Reserves it would be restocked by taking		

		<p>FTEs from all three colleges. He said the college that used them should be the one that pays it back although it would not have to be all at once.</p> <p>Council discussed how sabbatical leaves will be funded under the new procedures. Lema said even though DVC has an advantage with it being at district, local control would mean each college has more control over their own selection process. She said she is ok either way but they need to make sure that is what the colleges use the money for. Akers advocates for local control. He suggested if all the money is not allocated, it goes back to the district sabbatical fund and is redistributed. Council agreed. Norris said faculty are concerned it is money the college earned from their FTEF and have asked why they can't use it for other things.</p> <p>Council also agreed the faculty obligation number in the new procedure needs to be changed to at least match what the district has now.</p>		
4.	FSCC 2010-2011 Budget	This item was discussed in conjunction with item 3.		
5.	Chancellor's approach to institutional governance BP 1009	<p>Norris said he is concerned with Student "balloon" in the diagram for district shared governance is connected directly to the Board.</p> <p>Lema clarified that Title 5 gives students that right but Classified and students do not have a right to consultation.</p> <p>Norris said he does not think the third paragraph should be included because Title 5 says if there is not agreement, things don't change.</p>		
6.	District Business Procedure 10.06 Acceptable Technology Use	Council agreed this procedure is acceptable.		
7.	DGC meet via video conferencing	Council agreed this would be helpful at times but there are issues that should be discussed in face-to-face meetings. They did express some concern with the reliability of the technology.		
8.	ADJOURNMENT	<p>Meeting Adjourned 3:00</p> <p>Next meeting Fall 2010 - TBD</p>		

